MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC

103 Eisenhower Parkway Roseland, New Jersey 07068

P: 973-228-9898 F: 973-228-0303

E: <u>ekatz@mazeislater.com</u> *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

NORTH JERSEY BRAIN & SPINE CENTER, Plaintiff,

VS.

UNITED HEALTHCARE INS. CO.; OXFORD HEALTH INS., INC.; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS (NJ), INC.; OXFORD HEALTH PLANS (NY), INC.; BENJAMIN MOORE & CO.; LOEWS HOTELS & RESORTS CORP.; WELLS FARGO CORP.; FAIRLEIGH DICKINSON UNIV.; UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES, INC.; UNITED HEALTHCARE SERVICES, LLC; AXA ASSISTANCE USA, INC.; and, ABC CORPS. 1-100,

Defendants.

Civil Case No. 2:18-cv-15631

Before: Susan D. Wigenton, U.S.D.J. Leda D. Wettre, U.S.M.J.

Return Date: Aug. 12, 2019

REPLY CERTIFICATION OF DAVID M. ESTES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION, AND FOR FEES & COSTS

DAVID M. ESTES, of full age, hereby certifies as follows:

1. I am an attorney-at-law of the State of New Jersey and an associate with the law firm of Mazie Slater Katz & Freeman, LLC, attorneys for Plaintiff North Jersey Brain & Spine Center ("NJBSC") in the above-captioned matter. I make this Certification in support of Plaintiff's

Motion to Remand for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction. This

Certification is based on personal knowledge.

2. A copy of an excerpt of the July 29, 2008 deposition of Susan M.

Hayes, corporate designee of Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield of New

Jersey ("Horizon"), defendant in NJBSC v. Horizon, No. BER-L-5751-07

(N.J. Law Div., filed 2007) is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

I hereby certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I

am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully

false, I am subject to punishment.

/s/ David M. Estes

DAVID M. ESTES

Dated: August 12, 2019

Exhibit A

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION - BERGEN COUNTY
DOCKET NUMBER L-5751-07

- -X

NORTH JERSEY BRAIN & SPINE CENTER,

DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION

OF

SUSAN M. HAYES

Plaintiff,

vs.

HORIZON BLUE CROSS BLUE SHIELD OF NEW JERSEY,



Defendant.

- - - X

COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPT of the stenographic notes of the proceedings in the above entitled matter as taken by DENISE L. SWEET, a Certified Court Reporter, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, at the offices of MAZIE, SLATER, KATZ & FREEMAN, L.L.C., 103 Eisenhower Parkway, Roseland, New Jersey on Tuesday, July 29, 2008, at 10 o'clock in the forenoon.

KELLY McARDLE & ASSOCIATES
Court Reporting Services
2435 Route 34, B-222
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 223-4344

```
Page 2
 1
              APPEARANCES
 2
 3
     MAZIE, SLATER, KATZ & FREEMAN, L.L.C.
 4
     103 Eisenhower Parkway
     Roseland, New Jersey 07068
     BY:
 5
          ERIC D. KATZ, ESO.
     For the Plaintiff.
 6
 7
     WARDELL, CRAIG, ANNIN & BAXTER, L.L.P.
     41 Grove Street
     Haddonfield, New Jersey 08033
 9
          EDWARD S. WARDELL, ESQ.
     For the Defendant.
10
11
     Also Present:
     Horizon Blue Cross Blue Shield
12
     BY: SHERI HECHT LEONARD, ESQ.
13
     Lee Goldberg
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 5
  1
      SUSAN
                  Μ.
                       HAYES,
                                     being first duly sworn
  2
             according to law, testifies as follows:
  3
  4
                        (P-1 consisting of a notice to
  5
              produce was received and marked for
              identification.)
  7
 8
      EXAMINATION BY MR. KATZ:
 9
                  Good morning. My name is Eric Katz.
                                                         I
      represent the plaintiff, North Jersey Brain and
10
11
      Spine Center, in this matter. I'm going to give you
12
      a few instructions. But, before that, could you
13
      please state your full name for the record?
14
           Susan Mary Hayes.
15
                  Okay. And are you employed by Horizon
      Blue Cross Blue Shield?
16
17
      Α
           Yes, I am.
18
                  And what is your position there?
19
      Α
           I'm a manager.
20
                 Manager where?
           Q
           Physician services.
21
      Α
22
                 What does the physician services do?
23
      Α
           It handles inquiries from physicians,
24
      participating physicians, and we work with our legal
25
      department and with the Department of Banking and
```

Page 41 1 0 Okay. So, any appeals go to the home 2 plan? 3 A Yes. 4 Do you have any understanding or in your work at Horizon, your employment at Horizon, of the 5 Prompt Pay Laws, particularly the Hint Act, H-I-N-T? 6 7 A Yes. Q Does the Hint Act apply to claims that involve home plans other than New Jersey? 9 10 MR. WARDELL: I'll object to the 11 extent it calls for a legal conclusion. You want to 12 ask her understanding? 13 MR. KATZ: Her understanding. 14 not asking for a legal conclusion. 15 Based on your application in the course 16 of your duties. 17 My understanding is that the Prompt Pay 18 regulations apply to any contracts, any insured 19 contracts written in the State of New Jersey. 20 Anything that is written outside the State of New 21 Jersey or anything that's administrative services 22 contract are not subject to those Prompt Pay 23 regulations. 24 0 Okay. So, your understanding is that the 25 Prompt Pay Laws apply to fully insured business in

```
Page 42
  1
       the State of New Jersey?
  2
            Correct.
  3
            Q
                  Okay. Is it your understanding that the
  4
      Prompt Pay regulations, including the Hint Act,
      apply to nonparticipating providers as well as
 5
 б
      participating providers?
 7
            Absolutely.
            Q
                  Okay. I'm done with -- by the way, if
 9
      you need a break, this is not a marathon. I can go,
10
      Ed knows I can go for...
11
                       MR. WARDELL: Adnauseam is the
12
      legal phrase.
13
            I'd like a little glass of water, if I could.
14
                       MR. KATZ: Want to take a couple
15
      minutes?
16
                       (At which time a brief recess was
17
      taken.)
18
                       (P-4 consisting of a claim file for
19
             Carmine Rubino was received and marked for
20
             identification.)
21
           Q
                  Okay. Why don't we continue.
22
      shown you P-4, which are documents from Carmine
23
      Rubino, R-U-B-I-N-O.
24
      Α
           Yes.
25
           Q
                 Let me direct you to a couple of things.
```

- 1 Cross Blue Shield of Michigan?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q A letter inquiry from the
- 4 nonparticipating provider, though, could be
- 5 submitted by the practice to Horizon?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And that would then be forwarded to
- 8 Michigan for action?
- 9 A We would investigate on their behalf and
- 10 provide them with a response based on pretty much
- 11 what's on this claim.
- 12 Q What is your understanding of, in those
- instances, where a nonparticipating provider renders
- 14 emergency services in the State of New Jersey, what
- 15 Horizon is obligated to pay for those services?
- 16 MR. WARDELL: You mean for a
- 17 Horizon subscriber/insured?
- 18 MR. KATZ: Correct.
- 19 A If the member's contract is written in the
- 20 State of New Jersey and the provider is a
- 21 nonparticipating and the situation is truly
- 22 emergent, then we will pay up to charges in order to
- 23 not hold the member liable for their inability to
- 24 select a participating physician at that particular
- 25 venue.

- 1 Q So, assuming that the emergency services
- 2 are truly emergency services, Horizon would pay the
- 3 provider's billed amount so that the member has no
- 4 liability; correct?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q And in such instances, Horizon will not
- 7 factor in whether or not those charges are
- 8 legitimate, usual and customary or what have you.
- 9 It will simply accept what the provider billed and
- 10 pay that amount?
- 11 A For the most part, yes.
- 12 Q Are there instances, though, because I'm
- just listening to your qualification, you say for
- the most part. When wouldn't that be the case?
- 15 A There may be instances where there is
- 16 inappropriate billing.
- 17 Q You're talking about, like, a fraudulent
- 18 situation?
- 19 A I don't want to use the word fraud, but
- inappropriate billing. And in those cases we would
- 21 not pay those services and certainly that would, we
- 22 would have documentation to support our position in
- 23 that. But, for the most part, yes, we will pay
- those, all those charges, up to charges.
- Q With regard to North Jersey Brain and

- 1 Spine, based on your experience at Horizon, how long
- 2 have you been at Horizon?
- 3 A Two years.
- 4 Q Two years. Where were you before that?
- 5 A A lot of different places.
- 6 Q Other health insurers?
- 7 A I worked for Union Trust Fund for a year. I
- 8 did some technical work designing benefit systems
- 9 and I spent 21 years at UPS in their corporate
- 10 headquarters running their benefits department.
- 11 Q Okay. I just had a big case I settled
- 12 with UPS on benefits. You were already gone.
- 13 A Can't blame me for that one.
- Q Based on your two year's experience at
- 15 Horizon, have you ever had personal knowledge or
- 16 indirect knowledge of North Jersey Brain and Spine
- 17 Center either billing fraudulently or
- inappropriately for the services that it rendered?
- 19 A Personally, no.
- 20 Q Indirectly have anything, have you heard
- 21 anything, has anyone ever told you anything that
- 22 North Jersey Brain and Spine Center was suspected of
- 23 billing inappropriately or fraudulently?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Now, how does Horizon determine, again,

1 I'm paraphrasing your words, what is a true 2 emergency for determining whether or not it will pay the provider's bill or not submitted by 3 nonparticipating provider? 4 5 Well, a situation has to be life threatening. 6 And there is a little bit of a gray area in that we do consider the circumstances where the individual, 7 8 and I can't remember the name of this phrase, but 9 it's the common man theory or something like that, 10 where he feels that he truly is in a life 11 threatening situation and they would go to the 12 emergency room. Classic case in point would be a 13 child who has a hundred and six degree fever. Child 14 may have an ear infection, but the parents feel that 15 that's a bona fide emergency. So, they would go to 16 the emergency room. To me, that's emergent. 17 Is there, is Horizon's determination as 18 to what constitutes an emergency driven by statute 19 or regulation or is it an internal policy? 20 A We have certain diagnoses that are 21 recognizable, such as suspected heart attack is 22 something that would just go through the system. Ιf 23 it was a debatable issue, we are open to 24 interpretation and we're flexible. More often than

not, we lean towards the side of the member.

25

Page 67 1 Q To? 2 To grant that condition. 3 And anything you just told me, is this actually a written policy at Horizon? 4 5 We do have written policies. I've paraphrased, 6 but we do have policies on what the ER mandate is 7 and how we should interpret it. 8 Now, let me clarify this. Is Horizon, in 9 those instances where Horizon is acting as either, 10 let's start with this as the gateway for a home 11 plan, that's other than Horizon, would these 12 procedures that govern payment to non-providers for 13 emergency services also be in effect? 14 MR. WARDELL: I'll object to the 15 extent you're asking for any type of legal 16 conclusion, because I don't think any of those ER 17 rules apply to out of state plans, but if you're 18 talking internally in the processing, that's 19 different. 20 MR. KATZ: Yeah, I'm not asking for 21 a legal conclusion. We can fight that out some 22 other time. 23 But, from your, from your experience, the 24 Horizon gateway to processing claims, if a claim is 25 submitted by a nonparticipating provider that

- 1 involves an emergency service that the home plan is
- on another plan, other than Horizon in another
- 3 state, how are those claims to be handled?
- 4 A If it's not paid up to charges, it would have
- 5 to be an appeal by the member.
- 6 Q Well, is there a policy that regardless
- of whatever the law, whatever Mr. Wardell just put
- 8 his objection on the record to and the
- 9 interpretation of law, I'm talking about actual
- 10 practice at Horizon. Is it the policy at Horizon
- 11 that if it's an emergency service rendered by a
- 12 nonparticipating doctor that it would be paid at
- 13 100 percent of the billed charge?
- 14 A For contract in the State of New Jersey, yes.
- 15 For a contract written outside the State of New
- Jersey, we'd have to adhere first to the member's
- 17 contract and, secondly, those contracts are not
- 18 subject to the ER mandate. So, it would be up to
- 19 the discretion of the home plan and the member's
- 20 contract.
- 21 Q And Horizon, does Horizon have any input
- or say as to how that, what the ultimate
- 23 determination would be or is that strictly up to the
- 24 home plan?
- 25 A We submit the claims as we receive them and the